

DELEGATED FILE NOTE

CASE OFFICER: ...William Thompson.....

APPLICATION REFERENCE	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT	APPLICATION SITE
25/00262/FPH	Single storey rear extension	137 Mendip Way Great Ashby Stevenage Hertfordshire SG1 6GD

Submitted Plan Nos

Location Plan 22414-100 22414-101 22414-102 22414-103

1.0 Policies

D1	Sustainable design
D2	House exts, replcmnt dwellings outbuild
D3	Protecting living conditions
T2	Parking
SECN12	Achieving well-designed places
SECN14	Meeting climate change,coastal,flooding

2.0 **Site History**

2.1 None relevant

3.0 **Representations**

3.1 **Neighbour Consultation** – None received
Great Ashby Community Council – None received.

4.0 **Planning Considerations**

4.1 **Site and Surroundings**

4.1.1 The site is a three-storey, terraced dwelling on the south side of Mendip Way in a residential part of Stevenage. The site has a small rear garden.

4.2 **Proposal**

4.2.1 Single storey rear extension

4.3 **Key Issues**

4.3.1 The key issues for consideration are as follows:

- The acceptability of the design of the proposed development and its impact on the character and appearance of the area.
- The impact of the proposed development on the living conditions of neighbouring properties.
- Access and parking matters.
- The impact of the proposed development on the environment.

Design

4.3.2 Policy D1 of the Local Plan requires that development proposals respond positively to the site's local context, retain existing vegetation and minimise the visual impact of street furniture and parking provision. Policy D2 of the Local Plan requires extensions to houses to incorporate design that is sympathetic to the main dwelling in terms of its scale, form and orientation and does not harm the character and appearance of the locality around the site. These policies are broadly consistent with Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

4.3.3 The proposed single storey rear extension would have a width of 5.0m to match the width of the dwelling, a depth of 3.0m and a flat roof with a height of 3.1m. The proposal includes 1no. rooflight. The proposed external materials would be yellow brickwork for the walls to match existing, GRP roof, and grey aluminium bifold doors.

4.3.4 In my view, the scale of the proposed extension would be a sympathetic addition to the dwelling and would not be considered disproportionate. The proposed roof form and materials are considered sympathetic to the dwelling and wider street scene and would not result in an adverse effect on the character of the dwelling.

- 4.3.5 This is considered to be in line with Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework and Policies D1, D2 and D3 of the Local Plan.

Impact on Neighbours

- 4.3.6 A core planning principle set out in the NPPF is to always seek to secure a good standard of amenity for all existing and future occupants of land and buildings. This principle is reflected in the provisions of Policy D3 of the NHLP.
- 4.3.7 Regarding no.135 Mendip Way, the eastern neighbour, the proposed rear extension would run along the shared boundary line, but, at a depth of 3.0m and a height of 3.1m, it is considered that the proposed extension would not cause unacceptable harm in terms of dominance, shading or overlooking.
- 4.3.8 Regarding no.141 Mendip Way, the western neighbour, the proposed rear extension would be set away from this property due to an access route between the dwellings and at a depth of 3.0m it is considered that the proposed extension would not cause unacceptable harm in terms of dominance, shading or overlooking.
- 4.3.9 This development is therefore considered to be in accordance with Policy D3 of the NHLP and Section 12 of the NPPF.

Highways and Parking:

- 4.3.10 The proposal does not include an increase in the number of bedrooms. Therefore, no issues arise with regard to Policy T2 of the NHLP.

Environmental Implications

- 4.3.11 The proposed development would be consistent with the criteria set out in Policy D1 of the NHLP '*Sustainable Design*'. There are no other significant environmental implications as a result of the proposed development.

Biodiversity Net Gain:

4.3.12 This is a form of development which is exempt from BNG Legislation, defined under article 2(1) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 due it falling under the scope of the 'de minimis' exemption.

4.4 **Conclusion**

4.4.1 In the absence of material planning reasons to the contrary it is my view that planning permission is **GRANTED**.

4.5 **Alternative Options**

4.5.1 None.

4.6 **Pre-Commencement Conditions**

4.6.1 None.

5.0 **Recommendation**

5.1 That planning permission be **GRANTED** subject to the following conditions

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of 3 years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out wholly in accordance with the details specified in the application and supporting approved documents and plans listed above.

Reason: To ensure the development is carried out in accordance with details which form the basis of this grant of permission.

Proactive Statement:

Planning permission has been granted for this proposal. Discussion with the applicant to seek an acceptable solution was not necessary in this instance. The Council has therefore acted proactively in line with the requirements of the Framework (paragraph 38) and in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015.

Signed  Anne McDonald	Development Management North Hertfordshire District Council Council Offices Gernon Road Letchworth Herts SG6 3JF
Determining Officer Date: 2 April 2025	