

Alex Howard
North Hertfordshire District Council
Planning Control & Conservation
Council Offices Gernon Road
Letchworth Garden City
Hertfordshire
SG6 3JF

Our ref: NE/2024/137216/01
Your ref: 24/01444/FP
Date: 30 July 2024

Dear Alex,

Land Between Huntsridge and Ashwell House, 5 High Street, Ashwell, Hertfordshire.

Erection of 14 dwellings (including affordable housing) including creation of access from Ashwell Street, footpath link to Lucas Lane, public open space and soft and hard landscaping, biodiversity enhancement and, associated infrastructure on land north of Ashwell street, Ashwell.

Thank you for consulting us on the above application on 10 July 2024.

Environment Agency Position

As part of the consultation, we have reviewed the submitted documents in line with our remit.

We are currently operating with a significantly reduced resource in our Groundwater and Contaminated Land Team in our Hertfordshire and North London Area. This has regrettably affected our ability to respond to Local Planning Authorities for some planning consultations. We are not providing specific advice on the risks to controlled waters for this site as we need to concentrate our local resources on the highest risk proposals. We therefore have **no comments** on the application.

The previous land use at this site suggests the potential presence of contamination. As the site is situated in a vulnerable groundwater area within Source Protection Zone 3 on a principal aquifer these proposals need to be dealt with in a way which protects the underlying groundwater. Please therefore take note of the following advice.

Where land contamination may be an issue for a prospective development, we encourage developers to employ specialist consultants/contractors working under the National Quality Mark Scheme.

Advice to Local Planning Authority

Groundwater Standing Advice

We recommend that the requirements of the [National Planning Policy Framework](#) and [National Planning Policy Guidance](#) are followed. This means that all risks to

Cont/d..

groundwater and surface waters from contamination need to be identified so that appropriate remedial action can be taken. We expect reports and Risk Assessments to be prepared in line with our [Approach to Groundwater protection](#) (commonly referred to as GP3) and the updated guide [Land contamination: risk management](#) (LCRM). LCRM is an update to the Model procedures for the management of land contamination (CLR11), which was archived in 2016.

In order to protect groundwater quality from further deterioration:

- No infiltration based sustainable drainage systems should be constructed on land affected by contamination as contaminants can remobilise and cause groundwater pollution (e.g. soakaways act as preferential pathways for contaminants to migrate to groundwater and cause pollution).
- Piling or any other foundation designs using penetrative methods should not cause preferential pathways for contaminants to migrate to groundwater and cause pollution.

The applicant should refer to the following (non-exhaustive) list of sources of information and advice in dealing with land affected by contamination, especially with respect to protection of the groundwater beneath the site:

1. Follow the risk management framework provided in the updated guide [LCRM](#), when dealing with land affected by contamination.
2. Refer to the [Environment Agency Guiding principles for land contamination](#) for the type of information we require in order to assess risks to controlled waters from the site. The Local Planning Authority can advise on risk to other receptors, such as human health.
3. Consider using the [National Quality Mark Scheme for Land Contamination Management](#) which involves the use of competent persons to ensure that land contamination risks are appropriately managed. The Planning Practice Guidance defines a "Competent Person" (to prepare site investigation information) as: "A person with a recognised relevant qualification, sufficient experience in dealing with the type(s) of pollution or land instability, and membership of a relevant professional organisation." For this definition and more please see [here](#).
4. Refer to the [contaminated land](#) pages on Gov.uk for more information.
5. We expect the site investigations to be carried out in accordance with best practice guidance for site investigations on land affected by contamination e.g. British Standards when investigating potentially contaminated sites and groundwater, and references with these documents and their subsequent updates:
 - BS5930:2015 Code of practice for site investigations;
 - BS 10175:2011+A2:2017 Code of practice for investigation of potentially contaminated sites;
 - BS ISO 5667-22:2010 Water quality. Sampling. Guidance on the design and installation of groundwater monitoring points;
 - BS ISO 5667-11:2009, BS 6068- 6.11: 2009 Water quality. Sampling. Guidance on sampling of groundwaters (a minimum of 3 groundwater monitoring boreholes are required to establish the groundwater levels, flow patterns but more may be required to establish the conceptual site model and groundwater quality. See RTM 2006 and MNA guidance for further details);
 - BS ISO 18512:2007 Soil Quality. Guidance on long-term and short-term storage of soil samples;
 - BS EN ISO 5667:3- 2018. Water quality. Sampling. Preservation and handling of water samples;
 - Use MCERTS accredited methods for testing contaminated soils at the site;

- Guidance on the design and installation of groundwater quality monitoring points Environment Agency 2006 Science Report SC020093 NB. The screen should be located such that at least part of the screen remains within the saturated zone during the period of monitoring, given the likely annual fluctuation in the water table. In layered aquifer systems, the response zone should be of an appropriate length to prevent connection between different aquifer layers within the system.

A Detailed Quantitative Risk Assessment (DQRA) for controlled waters using the results of the site investigations with consideration of the hydrogeology of the site and the degree of any existing groundwater and surface water pollution should be carried out. This increased provision of information by the applicant reflects the potentially greater risk to the water environment. The DQRA report should be prepared by a “Competent Person” e.g. a suitably qualified hydrogeologist. More guidance on this can be found at: <https://sobra.org.uk/accreditation/register-of-sobra-risk-assesors/>.

In the absence of any applicable on-site data, a range of values should be used to calculate the sensitivity of the input parameter on the outcome of the risk assessment.

Further points to note in relation to DQRAs:

- GP3 version 1.1 August 2013 provided further guidance on setting compliance points in DQRAs. This is now available as online guidance: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/land-contamination-groundwater-compliance-points-quantitative-risk-assessments>
- Where groundwater has been impacted by contamination on site, the default compliance point for both Principal and Secondary aquifers is 50 metres.
- For the purposes of our Approach to Groundwater Protection, the following default position applies, unless there is site specific information to the contrary: we will use the more sensitive of the two designations e.g. if secondary drift overlies principal bedrock, we will adopt an overall designation of principal.

Where leaching tests are used it is strongly recommended that BS ISO 18772:2008 is followed as a logical process to aid the selection and justification of appropriate tests based on a conceptual understanding of soil and contaminant properties, likely and worst-case exposure conditions, leaching mechanisms, and study objectives. During the risk assessment one should characterise the leaching behaviour of contaminated soils using an appropriate suite of tests. As a minimum these tests should be:

- Up-flow percolation column test, run to LS 2 - to derive kappa values;
- pH dependence test if pH shifts are realistically predicted with regard to soil properties and exposure scenario;
- LS 2 batch test - to benchmark results of a simple compliance test against the final step of the column test.

Following the DQRA, a Remediation Options Appraisal should be completed to determine the Remediation Strategy, in accordance with the updated guide [LCRM](#).

The verification plan should include proposals for a groundwater monitoring programme to encompass regular monitoring for a period before, during and after ground works e.g. monthly monitoring before, during and for at least the first quarter after completion of ground works, and then quarterly for the remaining 9-month period. The verification report should be undertaken in accordance with in our guidance [Verification of Remediation of Land Contamination](#).

We only consider issues relating to controlled waters (groundwater and watercourses). Evaluation of any risks to human health arising from the site should be discussed with the relevant local authority Environmental Health Department.

Use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)

Support for the use of SuDS to ensure development does not increase flood risk elsewhere is set out in paragraph 173 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Surface water run-off should be controlled as near to its source as possible through a sustainable drainage approach to surface water management (SuDS). SuDS manage surface water run-off by simulating natural drainage systems. Whereas traditional drainage approaches pipe water off-site as quickly as possible, SuDS retain water on or near to the site. As well as reducing flood risk, this promotes groundwater recharge, helps absorb diffuse pollutants, and improves water quality. Ponds, reedbeds and seasonally flooded grasslands can also be particularly attractive features within public open spaces.

SuDS involve a range of techniques including soakaways, infiltration trenches, permeable pavements, grassed swales, green roofs, ponds and wetlands. As such, virtually any development should be able to include a scheme based around these principles. In doing so, they'll provide multiple benefits and will reduce costs and maintenance needs.

Further information on SuDS can be found in:

- the CIRIA C697 document SuDS manual
- HR Wallingford SR 666 Use of SuDS in high density developments
- CIRIA C635 Designing for exceedance in urban drainage – good practice
- the Interim Code of Practice for Sustainable Drainage Systems – the Interim Code of Practice provides advice on design, adoption and maintenance issues and a full overview of other technical guidance on SuDS

Advice to Applicant

Water Resources

Increased water efficiency in new developments potentially enables more growth to be realised without an increased availability of water resources. Developers can highlight responsible water use as a positive corporate social responsibility message that will boost the commercial appeal of the development. For the homeowner/tenant, lower water usage also reduces water and energy bills.

We endorse the use of water efficiency measures in all developments, particularly in those that are new. Use of technology that ensures efficient use of natural resources could support the environmental benefits of future proposals and could help attract investment to the area. Therefore, water efficient technology, fixtures and fittings should be all considered as an integral part of new developments and/or refurbishments. The technology used to achieve improved water efficiency (e.g. efficient fittings, greywater recycling, etc) is also an attractive feature for many prospective building owners and tenants.

The supply of water in the area is under serious water stress (as identified in our report: [Water stressed areas – 2021 classification](#)). All residential developments must therefore achieve the higher water consumption efficiency standard of 110 litres per person per day, as set out within [the Building Regulations &c. \(Amendment\) Regulations 2015](#). This standard **or higher** may already be a requirement of the local planning authority.

We also recommend you contact your local planning authority for more information.

Pre-Application Advice

Regarding future applications, if you would like us to review a revised technical report prior to a formal submission, outside of a statutory consultation, and/or meet to discuss our position, this will be chargeable in line with our planning advice service. If you wish to request a document review or meeting, please contact our team email address at HNLsustainableplaces@environment-agency.gov.uk.

Further information on our charged planning advice service is available at; <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/planning-advice-environment-agency-standard-terms-and-conditions>.

Final comments

Thank you for contacting us regarding the above application. Our comments are based on our available records and the information submitted to us. Please quote our reference number in any future correspondence. Please provide us with a copy of the decision notice for our records. This would be greatly appreciated.

Should you have any queries regarding this response, please contact me.

Yours sincerely,

Lucy Serjent
Planning Advisor

Email: HNLsustainablePlaces@environment-agency.gov.uk
Telephone: +44 20 3025 2653