

[Home](#) > [Planning](#) > View or comment on a planning application

Search ▼

My Profile ▼

Saved Searches

Login

Register

24/01994/S73

Variation of wording to Condition 3 and Condition 20 of planning permission 18/01502/OP granted on 25.07.2023.

Land Between Royston Road And Cambridge Road Barkway Hertfordshire

Total Consulted: 197 Comments Received: 10 Objections: 10 Supporting: 0

Ms Fiona Hill 17 Lindsay Close Royston Hertfordshire SG8 5EF (Objects)

Comment submitted date: Fri 25 Oct 2024

I strongly Object to this condition being removed. Removing the condition would enable the applicant/developer to update the permission without referring back for approval by the planning officers. The condition should remain and I would urge North Herts (District) Council to refuse this application.

Mrs Michelle Bonfield 6 Periwinkle Close Barkway Royston Hertfordshire SG8 8DB (Objects)

Comment submitted date: Fri 11 Oct 2024

Removal of condition 3 will allow the applicant to major changes to the Outline Permission without applying for changes. Condition 3 was imposed to protect the community from large developers who are known to change things to suit themselves rather than the community they are developing in.

I strongly object to the removal of this wording.

Ms C Willis Kings Cottage London Road Barkway SG8 8EZ (Objects)

Comment submitted date: Fri 11 Oct 2024

As far as I can see, the Application seeks to remove conditions which means there is much more flexibility, and therefore less planning control, over what the Developers plan to do. This seems to me to be wholly inappropriate - any changes should be formally approved through the planning process and should not be a decision taken solely by the Developers.

Mr Richard Courtice 8 High Street Barkway Royston Hertfordshire SG8 8EE (Objects)

Comment submitted date: Thu 10 Oct 2024

I object to the removal of this wording because it could allow Redrow to vary the conditions and proposals from related planning cases without proper scrutiny by those affected. The planning application as it currently stands allows for minor changes, which should be all that is required.

Mr And Mrs C Toms 1 High Street Barkway Royston Hertfordshire SG8 8EA (Objects)

Comment submitted date: Wed 09 Oct 2024

We wish to object to the S73 application and request that North Herts Council refuse the application. The applicant should be clear about each deviation that they wish to make and that each one would then be considered on its own merits, considering the applicants justification. By amending Condition 3 it would reduce the ability of the condition to ensure that details of the reserved matters do not change the requirements of the decision notice.

Mr Graham Swann 13 Royston Road Barkway Royston Hertfordshire SG8 8BU (Objects)

Comment submitted date: Wed 02 Oct 2024

I see no need for Condition 3 to be changed, the planning officer who imposed this condition has already allowed for changes to be made in any future planning application for issues that would be require to change such as the drainage plans.

The developer needs to be more transparent on the parts of the application they are finding difficult to comply with.

The planning department should be mindful that the planning inspector on passing BK3 in the local plan would have influenced the conditions in one way or another and the planing officer would have put this condition in place to ensure that any subsequent planning application would follow the plan that was reveiwed by the inspector which was 140 houses and a retail space as close as possible.

Changes to this condition would allow the devloper to remove parts of the original application that they find difficult to comply with or they do not wish to comply with out such as a retail space or a play area.

Dr Adrian Seville 146 High Street Barkway Royston Hertfordshire SG8 8EG (Objects)

Comment submitted date: Wed 02 Oct 2024

The change of wording would give the developers freedom to override details of the planning consent. The clause already contains a mechanism to vary the details - but only after approval of a Planning Officer. The developers contend that this is a small change of wording. I disagree and therefore OBJECT to the proposed change.

Dr Robert Davidson 5 Periwinkle Close Barkway SG8 8DB (Objects)

Comment submitted date: Wed 02 Oct 2024

Removal of condition 3 will allow the applicant to make changes to the Outline Permission without applying for changes. Condition 3 was imposed to protect the community from large developers who are known to change things to suit themselves rather than the community they are developing in.

I strongly object to the removal of this wording.

Mr Nicholas Tufton 11 High Street Barkway Hertfordshire SG8 8EA (Objects)

Comment submitted date: Mon 30 Sep 2024

I strongly object to the Section 73 Application asking for the removal of wording to Condition 3 of planning permission 18/01520/OP granted on 25.07.2023.

The wording in that permission was included for good reason and condition 3 should remain. It rather looks as if the applicant is trying to find a way round the detailed requirements relating to the upgrading of the sewage works (amongst other things) and the removal of condition 3 should NOT be permitted.

Mrs Laura Childs Talland Royston Road Barkway SG8 8BU (Objects)

Comment submitted date: Mon 30 Sep 2024

I believe that it is wrong for the developer to request an amendment to Condition 3 and strongly object. If any items are to be changed then they should all be considered on their own merit.

Powered by 

About this site

[Accessibility statement](#)

[Contact us](#)

[Cookies](#)

[Copyright and disclaimer](#)

[Privacy statement](#)

[Sign up for email updates](#)

Social media

