

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/X1925/W/25/3358840

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/X1925/W/25/3358840

Appeal By MR BRUCE PARKER

Site Address
Land to the East of Old Hale Way
Hitchin
Hertfordshire
SG4 0SA
Grid Ref Easting: 518530
Grid Ref Northing: 231117

SENDER DETAILS

Name MRS SUKHDEEP BHANDAL

Address
26 Bessemer Close
HITCHIN
SG5 1AG

Company/Group/Organisation Name Mr & Mrs Bhandal

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☒ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☒ Interested Party/Person Correspondence

☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

We strongly object to this proposal for a number of reasons and our comments for the previous two applications remain (ref. 23/01652/FP and 24/01920/FP).

We cannot see why a community car park is required? There is no need for additional car parking in this residential area as all houses have either a driveway or plenty of space on the road to park their cars.

The part of the road where the car park is proposed is not at all suitable as it is incredibly narrow and at the best of times is unsafe (due to visibility). Encouraging additional traffic would increase the risk of accidents. The area is already busy with cars and pedestrians (due to multiple schools in the vicinity).

The land is a greenbelt site and should be preserved, protecting wildlife.

This proposal would also increase anti-social behaviour, noise pollution and littering in the area.

This application has already been rejected twice, for valid reasons, and as a result the appeal should also be rejected.