

Dear Mr Howe

In support of my full planning permission application, I respectfully ask that this supporting statement is considered.

On 27th July 2026 I was issued a certificate of lawfulness (ref 26/01459/LDCP) for a partial side recess extension which permits a build of 3m depth and 3.8m height, inclusive of a pitched roof. The new plans propose a full recess extension of 5.7m depth and 2.9m height with a flat roof and light solution (lantern).

Previous full recess plans with a pitched roof were rejected based on the owner/landlord of number 79's objection that he registered on 4th November 2025, namely that light would be restricted to his kitchen door and window and his living room window. The certificate of lawfulness with the pitched roof already extends up to the equivalent of the end of his kitchen window so the full recess would have no further impact, in fact it would reduce the impact in that it'd be a flat roof as opposed to a pitch, reducing the height by 0.9m.

Furthermore, in his objection dated 7th November 2025 he said "if a flat roof to include roof lights/prism light well to the proposed extension at No 80 was considered then space and light could be achieved and a more sensible solution without removing light from No 79 my home, rather than a double sided elevated pitched roof" (sic). This proposal by Mark Worbey, is what is being submitted, albeit during informal consultation, Mark was not willing to consider or support any build (gable, hip or flat roof).

To maximise light reflection further for the tenants at number 79, the plans propose white rendering.

It is also worth noting that all the buildings in the vicinity of number 80, are double storied to the rear, my property being the only exception as it is single storied - which it will remain, therefore number 79 already gets more light than any other property in the vicinity.

Finally, whilst having no bearing on a planning decision, many of the gardens choose to have low level shrubbery to increase light due to the direction they face. The garden at number 79 doesn't, the foliage is dense and tall.

In summary, the current plans for a full recess side extension have no additional material impact than the permitted development for which the certificate of lawfulness has already been granted.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours sincerely

Amanda King